1 2	Alan E. Wisotsky – State Bar No. 68051 James N. Procter II – State Bar No. 96589 Dirk DeGenna – State Bar No. 188972	
3	WISOTSKY, PROCTER & SHYER 300 Esplanade Drive, Suite 1500	
4	Oxnard, California 93036 Phone: (805) 278-0920	
5	Facsimile: (805) 278-0289 Email: ddegenna@wps-law.net	
6	Attorneys for Defendants,	
7	CITY OF SANTA PAULA, SANTA PAULA POLICE DEPARTMENT, ISMAEL CORDERO, HECTOR RAMIREZ, and CHAD PEPLINKSI	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	HILDA VASQUEZ, etc., et al.,	CASE NO. CV 13-07726 CBM (AJWx)
13	Plaintiffs,	PROTECTIVE ORDER
14	v.	
15	CITY OF SANTA PAULA, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
19	1. The documents subject to this agreement are documents responsive to	
20	plaintiff Hilda Vasquez's Request for Production (Set One). Defendant Santa Paula	
21	Police Department has responded with objections to Item Nos. 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12	
22	and 13 and served a privilege log (attached hereto as "Attachment 1") for documents	
23	and things for which defendant asserts various privileges.	
24	2. The documents and items delineated in the privilege log, per a	
25	stipulation of the parties, are the proper subject of a privilege. The Court orders the	
26	following information to be confidential:	
27	(a) Reserve Officer Chad Peplinski's personnel file, including back-	
28	ground packet, examinations, personal history statement, psychology examination,	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

polygraph testing (Bates-stamped DEF 2687-2809);

- Reserve Officer Chad Peplinski's and Officer Hector Ramirez's (b) training records (Bates-stamped DEF 3509-3936, 3937-3941);
- Officer Hector Ramirez's personnel file, including background (c) packet, examinations, personal history statement, personnel action forms, psychology examinations, polygraph testing (Bates-stamped DEF 2810-3166);
 - (d) Use of force reports (Bates-stamped DEF 3167-3209);
 - Internal affairs log (Bates-stamped DEF 3210-3217); (e)
- (f) Internal affairs investigation 10-07 (Bates-stamped DEF 2568-2686).
- 3. Defendants may redact highly personal and irrelevant confidential information regarding police officers contained in the personnel files and documents, including, but not limited to, home addresses, phone numbers, names of family members and references, personal injury and workers' compensation information, salary information, tax return information, non-police-related occupational and educational information, and similar information. In addition, defendants may redact the name, address, and telephone number of non-party witnesses contained in the confidential documents concerning incidents apart from the incident giving rise to this litigation.
- 4. The Court may modify this protective order at any time for good cause shown and upon notice to the affected parties. The parties themselves may further stipulate to add or delete documents or information subject to this protective order.
- 5. Said documents were acquired in confidence and are the proper subject of a privilege in connection with this litigation, and the defendants' concerns of privilege and other objections raised in their response and outlined in their privileges are adequately protected by the protective agreement and order.
- 6. The defendants may produce to plaintiff's counsel the documents referenced in this protective order subject to the following protections:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- All such documents, writings, and information will be considered (a) This confidential information shall be used solely in confidential information. connection with this case and the preparation and trial of this case, or any related appellate proceedings, and not for any other purpose.
- Said documents and information shall not be disclosed by (b) plaintiffs or their attorneys to anyone other than:
 - (1) The plaintiffs' attorney and staff;
- Any expert or consultant hired or retained by plaintiffs and (2) their attorneys;
- Any judge or magistrate judge presiding over any aspect of (3) this action;
- Any mediator or other settlement officer agreed to or (4) appointed to assess and evaluate the dispute;
- (5)Subject to the below exceptions, any witnesses disclosed by any party pursuant to Rule 26(a)(1), (2), and (3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and local rules, or any deponent in the course of testifying or preparing for testimony.
- 7. The materials contained in the personnel files of Chad Peplinski and Hector Ramirez shall not be provided to or viewed by the plaintiffs, the plaintiffs' family, or friends of the plaintiffs.
- 8. Said documents and information shall not be provided to the news media, television, or radio, placed on the Internet, or published in any other fashion.
- 9. All persons to whom said documents are disclosed in connection with this litigation will be apprised of this stipulation and of its binding nature on all persons connected with this case.
- 10. To the extent that any confidential information is disclosed to a witness in connection with this litigation, pursuant to this order the documents shall be appropriately marked "Confidential."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 11. Confidential information or documents shared with or otherwise disclosed to witnesses in connection with this litigation shall be returned to the disclosing party at the conclusion of this action. All copies of records, recordings, photographs, or any other materials produced pursuant to the protective order shall be returned to counsel for defendants forthwith at the conclusion of this action, not to exceed 30 days.
- 12. There is no concession by defendants as to the admissibility of such items herein disclosed, and defendants have the right to seek exclusion of any such items or the information contained therein or their existence either in limine or during trial.
- 13. Disclosure of said documents shall be made within ten days of receipt by defendants of a signed, conformed order of the Court.
- 14. Nothing herein shall be construed as relieving anyone from fully complying with any obligations imposed by a duly issued subpoena or other process issued by a court or administrative agency.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 4/14/2014

ANDREW J. WISTRICH United States Magistrate Judge

July & Write